Sanitized - Approved For Release C

U.S. WILL CITE LAG TO DETER CREDIT

Will Tell Allies Export Aid Would Help Moscow in **Economic Difficulties**

CPYRGHT-

By EDWIN L. DALE Jr. Special to The New York Times

VASHINGTON, Jan. 8 Johnson Administration wil use new evidence of a sharp plants and equipment by West slowdown in Soviet economic European countries and Japan, economy growth as an argument to per- the United States will stress that and, in some cases, skepticisal suade West European countries such sales are now more than yesterday at a Central Intelligence

plied by the Central Intelligence Khrushchev cannot significantly United Agency. The agency made avail- out back the production of modable yesterday its conclusion ern military equipment to find that Soviet growth has dropped more resources for investment. from annual rates of 6 to 10 Nor can he resort to the Stalinis per cent in the last decade to oplicy of squeezing the conless than 2.5 per cent in 1962 sumer, still less the farmer. and 1963.

conclusions available for public crease in Soviet imports of cent annually. knowledge, it is believed, was capital goods from the West fithat it might be taken as re-nanced by sales of gold. inforcing the United States case in the debate with allied countries over credit sales to the that the country's annual protections over the transfer of the country's annual protections. Soviet Union, Another reason duction of gold is only \$150 was to tarnish an "image" of million a year. Normal Soviet the Soviet Union, in underdedeficits in international transveloped countries particularly. veloped countries particularly,

Some Experts Disagree

YRGHIT

The C.I.A. conclusions are at variance with those of most non-Soviet problem and, second, that long and hard," he said. government specialists on the the Russians cannot pay cash Soviet economy. It is not clear for the imports. Western credit whether the agency will make sales, by this analysis, would offer Mr. Khrushchev an escape its analytical techniques known from his problem. to others in the field.

[The C.I.A.'s conclusions were generally challenged by university experts on the Soviet economy. Some of them estimated that there had been a decline in the growth rate but considered the extent reported by the C.I.A. "fantastic."]

it will be somewhat less sceretive about those aspects of its
work that do not involve clare to the series of the work that do not involve clare to the series of the series to the series they would place large orders. Harvard, said, "I am a little work that do not involve clare to the series of the series o work that do not involve clan- ed beyond five years.

CPYRGHT

destine operations. One motive for this, if a policy change should be decided upon, is the relatively "bad press" the agency has received in recent years, centering mainly on operations, in Cuba and South Vietnam

However, regardless of future C.I.A. information policy, there was a clear United States Government policy interest in making public the agency's conclusions on the relatively poor Sovict economic performance, which sharply alter previous assessments.

of credit sales to the Soviet Union of industrial

sion of the agency to make its duction rule out any large in- years was less than 2.5 per gence agency's analysts.

The agency has concluded that world have required gold sales as a nation that had found the of at least \$200 million a year, secret of rapid economic growth. without allowing for any big increase in capital goods import.

Thus it is contended, first. that only imports can solve the from his problem, particularly ference between the intelligence if credit terms were extended agency's estimate and earlier beyond the five years normally

offered for capital goods. Whether this United States stand will impress the allies remains to be seen. All the major allies but Britain have already expressed their willingness to limit credit terms to five years tion.

ture for the intelligence agency. Union. In Britain, it is under-ture for the intelligence agency. Union. In Britain, it is under-It is possible that in the future stood, Soviet purchasing agen-in this field."

HARRY SCHWARTZ

de specialists on the Soviet expressed not to extend large export credever virtually the only escape gence Agency estimate of the
for the Soviet authorities from extent of a decline in Soviet
its to the Soviet Union.

The evidence has been supOfficials believe that Premier two years.

The specialists, professors at universities. States agreed that Soviet economic growth had slowed in recent years, particularly in 1963, because of a serious drop in grain production. What many of them found difficult to understand was the C.I.A.'s conclusion that one reason behind the deci- of Soviet gold reserves and pro-

The strongest reaction to the C.I.A. estimate was expressed by Prof. Nicholas Spulber of the City University of New York. "I just cannot believe it." he said. "It is impossible." The professor added that he would be ready to accept a figure as low as 4 per cent annually but was baffled by the agency's esti-mate of less than 2.5 per cent.

In a similar vein, Prof. Warren Eason of Syracuse University termed the estimate "awfully low."

"I would want to look at i

Estimate Not Ruled Out

Prof. Robert Campbell of Indiana University called the dif figures on Soviet economic growth "fantastic." However he added that the decline was bigger than one would conclude by looking at the individual components of Soviet produc

Prof. Herbert Levine of Ha tic."]

Credit extended.

France signified yesterday

her intention to press actively

ags public was a new depar
for more experts to the Social

out."__

etion of astonishment was the stimuter of Soviet economic growil: for earlier years, pres-pared by the C.I.A. and others, and suggested that an aurical rate of 6 or 7 per cent was

correct.

specialists found Many pard to understand how the Sorict economy could plunge in a few years from a 6 to 7 per cent growth rate to one of less than 2.5 per cent.

Several indicated that they had believed the Soviet decline

There was general agreement among the specialists that, regardless of whether the 2.5 gardless of whether the 2.5 figure for 190 and 1963 was correct, it would be congerous to suppose that the Soviet economy would grow at any such low rate in the future.

Professor Levine said that if the Soviet Union had good weather this year and the harvest improved, the country's rate of economic growth could rise to as much as 9 per cent.

On this point, Professor Bergson said that there was Professor evidence that Soviet economic prospects are not nearly so good as was widely assumed a few years ago, but it would be unwise to project from the last two years."

All the economists expressed curiosity about the details of the Central Intelligence Agency's calculations. Several noted that the agency's estimates could not be authoritatively evaluated by independent scholars unless the basic data and procedures were made public.

There were indications that the C.I.A. had made available some of its basic material on nonmilitary industrial produc-tion in the Soviet Union.

Several of the economists agreed that the key variable in judging the estimate of the Intelligence Agency Central was the level of agricultural output assumed in making the calculations.

Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP75-00149R0004004

FOIAb3